**Evaluating Website using Web Design Guidelines**

**Due 11:59pm – Monday Nov 9, 2020**

For this assignment, you are going to evaluate **two** of your peers’ websites using the “Resource for Web Design Guidelines” that we have shared in week 3. For each of your peer’s website, you need to create a document.

**Instructions:**

1. Download this PeerEvalutaion\_1\_WebDesign\_Asssignmnet.docx.
2. Find your peers (including their email and URL), whose website you need to evaluate on the Canvas page called “Your peers for Peer Evaluation 1” (in the project module). They are from your study group.
3. Save the document as **Your*Lastname-Peer1Lastname-WebDesignEvaluation.docx*** *and* **Your*Lastname-Peer2Lastname-WebDesignEvaluation.docx***
4. In each of these document, fillout your and peer’s information and the table. The left most column of the table has the criteria for evaluating a website. You need to fill out the other three columns as per the column headings. Fill out your observation with specific information that you observe and examples. Provide constructive feedback using professional language. The feedback should be meaningful so that it can help your peer to improve their website.
5. After you have evaluated both the websites, do the following two things:
   1. Upload both the two documents on **Canvas** at the **PeerEvaluation\_1\_ Assignment\_Submission Link**
   2. **Email each of the document to the corresponding peers.** (Example: If I am evaluating Niner’s website, I will email **Basu-Niner-WebDesignEvaluation.docx** *to only Niner and not the other peer.*)

**Your name: Landon Leigh**

**Your email id: lleigh@uncc.edu**

**Email id of your peer: khank@uncc.edu**

**Peer’s website URL: https://webpages.uncc.edu/khank/Exercise/RiverWatch/Home.html**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Criteria** | Explain your observation/s on how the given website meets/does not meets the criterion? | Rate with a scale of 1 through 5 (1- needs major improvement, 2- needs some improvement, 3- fine/can be improved, 4- good/ can be polished, 5- very good) | Specific suggestion/s for improvements or meeting the criterion |
| Effective home page including, clear purpose and name of the website | Gives clear purpose and title and looks good | 5 | maybe add more information |
| Adequate whitespace in each page | Amount of “whitespace” is good and allows content to be easily readable | 5 | Fill out the rest of the page to fill space at bottom and side |
| Page length and width | Length and width is good | 5 | Maybe center info so that it fills width well |
| Images of the webpages | Images are clear and good sizes | 5 | Add more as you develop the website |
| Color contrast and color style consistent across the webpages | Color scheme is nice and consistent, able to read content easily | 5 | Lighten the gray background slightly to make it even easier to read but keep if you like it |
| Follow web writing guidelines including consistency of text formatting across pages | Guidelines are followed well | 5 | Nothing I know of to improve |
| Navigation system including functionality of the links and their locations | Navigation works well and allows you to see where you are hovering easily | 5 | Add a way to see which page you are on via navigation menu as well potentially |
| Relevance of the information, and Organization/layout of each page | Info is relevant to the page that you are on | 5 | All looks good and goes in the right places |
| Alignment and proximity of items | Items are placed well which makes it easy to read | 5 | Keep same proximity in future |
| User engagement | Allows user to input info and interact | 5 | Continue to work on allowing the input to do something |
| Usability | Easy for user to use and navigate | 5 | Make rivers buttons work better as you develop |
| Works on different browsers like Google Chrome, Mozilla, Safari | Works on all | 5 | Make it more mobile friendly if wanted |
| Anything you want to add \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ |  |  |  |